G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1912.
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 188-12

Serial Nos. 165

Papers Filed.

Month April 1914

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the Valagudi Parichaike of Sri Chamarajeswara S. temple at Chamarajnagar.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES.
Abeyance for 19.10.14	1 212-25-14

G.R.S. 11] 1912

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 188/12

FILE HEADING.

Valagudi Paricharike of Sri Chamarajeswara Swamy Temple at Chamarajnagar.

From

Ranga Shetti of Nanjangud.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 9-9-12 Received

Diary No. 471	Enclos. 4 Spare Copies. –	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Mysore Dy Commrs. Order directing that one Venkatesaiah alias Shamanna be appointed to the said post.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

(2)
Submitted with a Dft. calling for report with records.
AD (132)
21-9-12

The drafts had been approved by the Hon'ble Minister. Issue necessary orders.
29/9.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-811

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 1866 of 1912.

Serial No.

(Peshkar's report no. 117 dt 22-1-1912) &
(Amildar's report no 98 dt 16-2-1912)

Venkatasubbiah and grand-father of Venkatesiah alias Shamanna (respondent before Govt and brother-in-law of the karawarddar as according to Amildar's report dated 12th march 1913, the karawardar's wife's uncle) held Ammanavaragudi Paricharike from 1826-7 (Vyaya Samvatsara) to january 1853. From 24th march 1853, Venkatasubbiah present karawarddar of Valagudi Paricharike was doing the duties. In connection with the theft of a jewel (290 ಪಗೋಡ) belonging to Ammanavaru, Venkatasubbiah was placed under suspension, and under orders of Commission Huzur dt 25th Feby. 1860, Sambiah was acting as Paricharaka. Thus Ranjundiah had nothing to do with the post in dispute. & From 1826-7, Kapinipati Bhatta (apts. grand-father's brother) was holding the post of Valagudi Paricharike in the Gods' temple till his death on 4th April 1850. On 6th April 1853, his son Ramachandra Bhatta was appointed to the post. Venkatasubbiah says that in 1873, there was a theft of silver articles in the Swamy Samidhi, that Ramachandra Bhatta referred to who was one of the servants.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

(2

C. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Item File No. 188 of 1912—

Serial No.	
	To
2	The D.C. Mysore No 1342 d 4.4.13 Forwards the report Encl 6 pets. No 1243 d 4.4.1913
3.	From, the Dy Commr, Mysore Distt Letter no 574 Dy 11-6-1913 Submits the report called for in Serial 2. 5 From the Vernacular records, it is observed as follows:— 1. The Valagudi Paricharike of Sri Chamarajeswara Swamy Temple at Chamarajnagar carries with it a pay of Rs 6 per mensem The karawarddar of the post is one Subraya alias Venkatasubbiah who is unfit to do the duties on account of his extreme old age being more than 78 years—and his defective vision. He says that during Namakarna, his parents gave him the name of Venkatasubbiah, and that as birth was thought to be the result of "Nagara Prathishthe" he is also known as Subraya. He also says that this post is his self-acquired property as explained below:— One Ranjunda Bhatta uncle of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-13.

G. R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 186 of 1916

Serial No.

held responsible for the theft, did not pay the amount which fell to his share and left the place for Nanjangud and did not turn up in spite of the notice issued to him - He further states that in connection with the theft in Arumanavara -gudi, he having paid as directed ~~Rs~~ 47 and odd towards his quota, the late chief Commissioner and Diwan Huzur ordered that a suitable post be given to him and the post of Sarvany Valagudi Pancharike which was then vacant for reasons stated above, was temporarily conferred upon him, which he has been holding under the name of Subraya - (In his report dy 16-2-1912, the Amildar says that under Dist^t Huzur order No 209/276 dy 31st October 1874, Ramachandra Bhatta was removed from the post and the barawad thereof was permanently made out in the name of Subraya)

X 9 On 11th August 1910, Subraya petitioned the temple authorities stating that on account of his extreme old age, he was unable to do his work and praying that his brother's son - the only relative in his family - Samajaya

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPR—60 000—7 11 13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 141 of 191-.

Serial No.

who was protecting him, might be appointed to the post. (Sama jois says that Subaya's father and his grand-father were brothers). Sama jois also said that he would furnish his house as security for the post. The temple Peshkar made a recommendation, but the Amildar directed on 19th Aug 1910, that the matter might be represented to him personally. In 1911, Subaya again petitioned the temple authorities stating that as Sama jois refd to was untrustworthy & did not remain at the place and was not likely to protect him, he had to change his mind, and praying that Venkatesiah alias Shamanna who is his brother-in-law and grand-son of Nanjunda Deekshith, original holder of Anmanaseragudi Parichara be appointed to the post. He also stated that the post was his self-acquired property and no question of Hak Cadr arise. Venkatesiah offered to furnish his house in Nanjangud worth about Rs 500 as security for the post.

10) Sama jois complained that Venkatesiah held joint Parichartike in Nanjangud Anmanaseam Temple, besides Mantra-pushpa

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11 13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 184 of 1912.

Serial No.

Sripada and other posts and that Venkatesa could not be expected to remain at Chamarajnagar and attend to the duties of the post. He claims the post as the agnate of Subbaraya. Venkatesiah says that the other karawardar will attend to Paricharike duties in the Nanjangud temple, that he would appoint a permanent Badli for other posts and that he was prepared to remain at C. Nagar and attend to the duties.

11) Ranga Srouti (aplt) before Gantz petitioned the Local authorities asserting his hereditary claims to the post as being the nephew of Ramachandra Bhatta. He stated that in 1874, as Ramachandra Bhatta went on leave owing to his inability to perform the duties, this post was otherwise disposed of even without a notice to them and added that he and his brother Venkatasubba Srouti had petitioned on the subject in 1894. (The Amildar says that no refs are available to show that there was a petition in 1894)

12) After holding the local enquiry and examining the contending parties, the Amildar submitted his detailed

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11 -13

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 1464 of 1912.

Serial No.

report dated 16th February 1912 recommending that Venkatesiah alias Shamanna be appointed to the post.

13) The Sub Divl. Officer reports that if the ancestors of Ranga Srowti had any right, they had lost it in 1874, that as Subraya complained against Shama jois, the latter though a relative of Subraya cannot be given the post and recommended that as the post is said to be the self-acquired property of Subraya, the Amildar's proposal to appoint Venkatesiah alias Shamanna may be sanctioned.

14) The Dy. Commissioner has sanctioned the above proposals and has directed that the petitions of Ranga Srowti and Shama jois may be rejected, there being no sufficient grounds to interfere in their behalf.

15) Against this order, Ranga Srowti has appealed to Govt.

16) From further correspondence, it will be seen that Venkatesiah did not actually enter upon the duties of the office neither did he furnish the security. The karavaddar Subraya again

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 186 of 1912.

Serial No.

petitioned praying that the post might be given to Narayana Jois, brother of Shama Jois - Narayana Jois also states that he would furnish the security

I And attend to the duties In his report No. 85 Dy 12th March 1913, the Amildar recommended to the Sub Divl Officer in favor of Narayana Jois and the records do not show what took place further.

17) Venkatesiah alias Shamanna has petitioned Govt stating that he is prepared to furnish the security and praying that as ordered by the Dy. Commr the post may be given to him Submitted with a Dft. enquiry for approval or other orders

NV (115) 29.12.1913 dmn

3/1/14 18 I do not see the necessity for this information. Bring up in person

Rev 18-1-14

19. Subdt & 1st Councillor.

The appeal relates to the Valagudi Panchamike of Swami Sannidhi in Chamarajanagar Temple

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

G. R. S. 1.

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 1/84 of 1912.

Serial No.

The appellant Ramya Somi claims the office as the representative of Ramachandra Bhatta who held the post prior to 1874 and was removed therefrom by a Mysore order. Any right which may have been in the family has ceased to exist at least after the lapse of the statutory period of twelve years. So far as this main appeal is concerned, the matter may be disposed of easily.

There is however one other intricate factor in the case. The Varadarajaswami Subbaya admits that the office is self acquired but at the same time claims an indefeasible right to nominate his successor. He has successively appointed three persons viz Rama Jois, Venkatesh alias Ramanna and Narayana Jois. Ramanna in whose favour the H.C. court's decision is passed, is no longer in favour and Subbaya has again chosen Narayana Jois and this choice is supported by the amildar. Subbaya himself is incapable of performing the office. It is a question whether Govt. should be bound by his nomination. All that Subbaya may legitimately be entitled to is to have an allowance

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11 13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191

Serial No.

during the remainder of his life. A portion of the emoluments often [illegible] made payable to him for the remainder of his life and subject. to this condition the Govt may appoint to this post any person found most suitable, of course the choice being restricted to persons connected with the temple

2/4/14

I agree. The old man may be given 2 Rs a month & a substitute appointed by Dy Coll, the man now nominated being selected if he agrees & is suitable.

12/3/14

23 Sept-

Submd. with a Dft. Endt to the addl AM 115/3 and a Dft. Letter to the Dy. Comr 14.4.1914.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

2926

(11)

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 188 of 1912

Serial No.	
4	To Rangaswami, Ranjangud No. 3022 dy 29.4.14 Rejects his Appeal.
5	To The Dy. Comr. Mysore Dt. No. 3023 dy 29.4.14 Directs that the Cowrie may be kept in the name of Subbaya Ka Adhikari appointed by him.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GRB—20 000—20 1-12

1½

No 1342 Ens- Dt 4/4/1913
Jmr 188-12-2

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.....

The accompanying Petition Registered
No. 471 dated 9.9.12
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Ranga Swami
of Nanjangud appealing
against the Dy. Commrs.
order directing that one
Venkatesiah alias Shamanna
be appointed to the
Volagudi Parichariker of
Sri Chamarajeswara
Swamy Temple at Chamara-
-nagar, 4
is forwarded, together with its enclosures,
to the Dy. Commr
Mysore District
.....for report
with records,

By Order
24.9.12
For Secretary

BANGALORE,
.....191 21/9/12

P. T. O.

GPB—1,000—1°-5-13

ಸಂ 1343 ದಿ 4.4.963

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮವಿಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹೂಕುಂ.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

By Order,

24-9-12

for Secretary.

21/9/12

(2)

191

2236



From The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

To The Secretary to Govt, Revenue Branch.

Dated 11-6-13

Despatched 16-6-13

Received

General No. 574

No.

Enclosure No. file

Sealed records with a report, re relating to the appeal petition of Ranga Swamy of Nanjan

GPB—50,000—26-9 12

W

No 574
fill

Office of the Dy Commissioner
Tinnevelly District
Dated Tinnevelly 11th June 1913.
16

From

A. Subramanya Iyer Esqr. B.A.
Dy Commissioner, Tinnevelly District

To

K. R. Seshiraghiengar Esqr. M.A.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Madras
Ld and Rev. Depth:
(Ryotwari Branch)

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No. 1342/Rev. 188-12-2 dated 4-4-1913 calling for a report on the appeal of Ranga Srauti of Nariangad against the order of this office directing that one Venkatesiah alias Shamanna be appointed to the dharmadi parichariki of Sri Veerara Swami temple

temple at Chamarajanagar etc,

have the honour to State that Subbaraya the karavardar Valagudi parichaka of the temple at Chamarajanagar represented in 1911 that on account of old age he was unable to cope with the work and that one Venkatesiah his brother-in-law may be appointed in his place.

Correspondence went on as to how the petitioner Subbaraya got the post and what right he had to resign the same in favour of his brother-in-law and in the end it was learnt

that this Subbaraya was formerly Paricharak of the Annamacharyudi under the name of Venkata Subbiah in 1853, and that his services were dispensed with temporarily in Connection with a theft case in the temple, that he paid the amount said to be due from him towards the value of the jewel lost and continued to petition praying for restoration to his post till the year 1873 when same 5 persons were involved in the theft case of a silver వెండి కొక్కెం in the Volaguidi and the value thereof ordered to be recovered from

from them according to their pay. Ramachandra Bhatta from whom the appeal petitioner Rangaswami claims the post, Parichaka of the Swami Samadhi who was one of the 5 persons did not pay his quota inspite of repeated notices till at last Ramachandra Bhatta was deprived of his barawari and Venkatasubbiah alias Subbaraya abovementioned was given the said Swami Samadhi parichaka post, (as per this office no: 209/274 dated 31-10-1874 (Vide Chamarajanagar Amildar's report no: 98 dated 16-2-1912.).

9) Thereupon the Sub Division officer Nanjunde Gowda commanded that under the circumstances the Valagudi Paricharaka baramard be made in the name of Venkatesiah alias Shamanna as per wishes of Subbaraya. Accordingly it was ordered by Mr. Krishna Iyer the then Deputy Commissioner in March 1912 that Venkatesiah alias Shamanah be made the baramard ar Valagudi Paricharak of the Sri Chamarajeswara Swamii temple at Chamarajanagar.

It is against the

above

above order that Ranga Swami
Vernular
has now appealed. The file of
papers ending with Nanjangude
division officer's yadi No 308 of
the 29.4.1913 is herewith submitted
for reference.

The appeal petition and
its enclosures are herewith
returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner

(10)

మేస్త్రీ నెం 2 నవరత్నాలు గారల్

పరిశీలన/ పోస్టు లో పంపవలసినది

No 3022 తేది 27.4.1914 అయింది

Mus 188-12-4

పంచనదులకు పశ్చిమ భాగమున్న

రాజులు మొదలగు వారు పంపుచున్న

లేఖ

ఈ మహాసభ గురించి వ్రాసిన

రాజకీయ సంస్థలు అయిన ఇండియన్

వుమన్ అసోసియేషన్ 1874 లో

ఏర్పడినది. ఈ సంస్థ కార్యకలాపాలు

రామ చంద్ర పైన్ గారి నాయకత్వంలో,

కోలుపల్లి రాజ్యాంగ పరిరక్షణ కోసం

స్త్రీలకు ఓటు హక్కు ఇవ్వడం అవసరం

అని వారు భావించారు. ఈ సంస్థ

కార్యకలాపాలు, సమావేశాలు

కార్యాలయాలు అన్ని ప్రధాన నగరాలలో

ఉన్నవి. ఈ సంస్థ ఇంగ్లాండ్ లో

ఉన్న స్త్రీల ఓటు హక్కు సంస్థతో

సంబంధాలు కలిగి ఉన్నది. ఈ సంస్థ

ఆధ్వర్యంలో ఇప్పటి వరకు 47 సభలు

జరిగాయి. ఈ సభలో ముఖ్యంగా

ఈ విషయం గురించి చర్చించడం

జరిగినది.

కామ్రేడ్ శ్రీ

V

I Passing orders re Vaidyadi Parichaike of Sri Chamarajeswara Swamy Temple at Chamarajnagar

File No. 188
Serial No. 12/5

G.R.S. 26]

Diary No. of letter replied to 2236/1912-13.

No. 3023 Emp file

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 19 .

To

The Dy. Commr,
Mysore Dist

Date of issue 27.4.914

Signed by Nor. Aravamudhan Iyer

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 574 Dy 11th June 1913 reporting on the petition of Ranga Sreeti of Nanjangud appealing against your order directing that as desired by the karawanddar Subbaya Venkatesiah alias Shamanna be appointed to the V Parichaike of Sri Chamarajeswara

over.

SUBJECT.

21-4-14

(13)

Swamy temple at Chamarajnagar,
I am directed to forward
herewith Copy of a Kanada
endorsement issued to Ranga
Srowti dismissing his appeal.

I am to request that
the Baramad may be kept
on in the name of Subraya
during his life-time and
a substitute appointed by
you in his stead with
the stipulation that Rs 2
a month should be allowed
by the latter towards the
maintenance of Subraya's
Up present nomince
~~Subraya~~ Subbaya vis Narayana Jois
~~Narayana Jois~~ may be
so appointed if he is
agreeable to the condition
if he is otherwise
for the place.

I have etc

Sd. 20.4.11.

for Secretary

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—25-9-10